

National Judicial Academy

**P-1411: Workshop for High Court Justices on Information & Communication Technology
15th September, 2024**

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Rajesh Suman, Faculty

No. of Participants : 13

No. of forms received : 11

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	81.82	18.18	-	-
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	90.91	9.09	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	81.82	18.18	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	90.91	9.09	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	81.82	18.18	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	72.73	27.27	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	81.82	9.09	9.09	-
c. Up to date	81.82	18.18	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	77.78	22.22	-	-

e. Related to International Legal Norms	55.56	44.44	-	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME				
PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	81.82	18.18	-	-
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	77.78	22.22	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	81.82	18.18	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	81.82	9.09	9.09	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	90.91	9.09	83.33	16.67
2	90.91	9.09	83.33	16.67
V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	80.00	20.00	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	88.89	11.11	-	5. Contents given in Pen drive, could not be accused.
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	77.78	22.22	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning	1. 1. The mode of transformation towards paperless courts. 2. Restricted use of AI in legal performance. 3. Prudent use of human resources even using technology.

<p>achievements of this Programme</p>	<p>2. Using AI in court management.</p> <p>3. 1. How less human intervention in legal process in courts can bring about proper utilization of HR. 2. AI tools can be utilized to improve quality of judgments. 3. AI restricts contrary point of view and does not have human cognition ability.</p> <p>5. 1. Experience sharing. 2. Changing outlook. 3. Happenings the other High Court.</p> <p>6. This session has enabled me as a member of e-committee of our High Court to learn the most and try to implement in on state.</p> <p>8. 4 hours workshop was quarreled after such workshop on a particular subject or issue, the same participant be called again in future with their feedback and practical experience.</p> <p>9. That AI has come to stay. We can make use of it but not be dependent on it.</p> <p>10. Change in the works and technology.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>2. Session 2: Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its Implications as Courtroom Technology – most informative and more reasonable.</p> <p>3. All parts were very useful.</p> <p>4. Use of AI in enhancing the quality of court judgment.</p> <p>5. Interaction and experience sharing.</p> <p>6. Both session were excellent.</p>
<p>3. Does the programme need further modulations or change</p>	<p>2. Need further modulation for clear views.</p> <p>3. Programme was very well structured. The resource persons were very responsive to ideas and queries.</p> <p>4. It should be two days programme.</p> <p>5. Time need to be extended.</p> <p>6. I feel this session can be speed over for 2 days.</p> <p>9. Yes. The participants should be stopped if they say on ask relevant staff.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>2. Need more frequent programme.</p> <p>4. Install a judicial museum in NJA.</p> <p>6. Digitization of records with pagination, indexing is a challenge which needs to be addressed.</p> <p>9. Can calculate draft topics & so that suggestions can be considered.</p>